



ATTACHMENT 6.

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

The National Commission for Academic Accreditation & Assessment

T5. COURSE REPORT (CR)

A separate Course Report (CR) should be submitted for every course and for each section or campus location where the course is taught, even if the course is taught by the same person. Each CR is to be completed by the course instructor at the end of each course and given to the program coordinator

A combined, comprehensive CR should be prepared by the course coordinator and the separate location reports are to be attached.



Course Report

For guidance on the completion of this template refer to the NCAAA handbooks.

Institution	Date of CR
College/ Department	

A Course Identification and General Information

1. Course title	Code #	Section #				
2. Name of course instructor		Location				
3. Year and semester to which this report applies.						
4. Number of students starting the course?	<input type="text"/>	Students completing the course? <input type="text"/>				
5. Course components (actual total contact hours and credits per semester):						
	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory/ Studio	Practical	Other:	Total
Contact Hours						
Credit						

B- Course Delivery

1. Coverage of Planned Program			
Topics Covered	Planned Contact Hours	Actual Contact Hours	Reason for Variations if there is a difference of more than 25% of the hours planned



2. Consequences of Non Coverage of Topics

For any topics where the topic was not taught or practically delivered, comment on how significant you believe the lack of coverage is for the course learning outcomes or for later courses in the program. Suggest possible compensating action.

Topics (if any) not Fully Covered	Effectuated Learning Outcomes	Possible Compensating Action

3. Course learning outcome assessment.

	List course learning outcomes	List methods of assessment for each LO	Summary analysis of assessment results for each LO
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			



--	--	--	--

Summarize any actions you recommend for improving teaching strategies as a result of evaluations in table 3 above.

4. Effectiveness of Planned Teaching Strategies for Intended Learning Outcomes set out in the Course Specification. (Refer to planned teaching strategies in Course Specification and description of Domains of Learning Outcomes in the National Qualifications Framework)

List Teaching Methods set out in Course Specification	Were They Effective?		Difficulties Experienced (if any) in Using the Strategy and Suggested Action to Deal with Those Difficulties.
	No	Yes	



--	--	--	--

Note: In order to analyze the assessment of student achievement for each course learning outcome, student performance results can be measured and assessed using a KPI, a rubric, or some grading system that aligns student work, exam scores, or other demonstration of successful learning.

C. Results

1. Distribution of Grades

Letter Grade	Number of Students	Student Percentage	Analysis of Distribution of Grades
A			
B			
C			
D			
F			
Denied Entry			
In Progress			
Incomplete			
Pass			
Fail			
Withdrawn			

2. Analyze special factors (if any) affecting the results



--

3. Variations from planned student assessment processes (if any) (see Course Specifications).

a. Variations (if any) from planned assessment schedule (see Course Specifications)

Variation	Reason

b. Variations (if any) from planned assessment processes in Domains of Learning (see Course Specifications)

Variation	Reason

4. Student Grade Achievement Verification (e.g. cross-check of grade validity by independent evaluator).

Method(s) of Verification	Conclusion

D Resources and Facilities

1. Difficulties in access to resources or facilities (if any)

2. Consequences of any difficulties experienced for student learning in the course.



--	--

E. Administrative Issues

1 Organizational or administrative difficulties encountered (if any)	2. Consequences of any difficulties experienced for student learning in the course.
--	---

F Course Evaluation

1 Student evaluation of the course (Attach summary of survey results)
a. List the most important recommendations for improvement and strengths
b. Response of instructor or course team to this evaluation
2. Other Evaluation (e.g. by head of department, peer observations, accreditation review, other stakeholders)
a. List the most important recommendations for improvement and strengths



b. Response of instructor or course team to this evaluation

G Planning for Improvement

1. Progress on actions proposed for improving the course in previous course reports (if any).			
Actions recommended from the most recent course report(s)	Actions Taken	Action Results	Action Analysis
a.			
b.			
c.			
d.			
2. List what other actions have been taken to improve the course (based on previous CR, surveys, independent opinion, or course evaluation).			



--

3. Action Plan for Next Semester/Year				
Actions Recommended for Further Improvement	Intended Action Points (should be measurable)	Start Date	Completion Date	Person Responsible
a.				
b.				
c.				
d.				
e.				

Name of Course Instructor: _____

Signature: _____ Date Report Completed: _____

Program Coordinator: _____

Signature: _____ Date Received: _____